

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION  
CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:15-CV-369-MOC-DCK**

**GREGORY TODD PAINTER, JR.,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**JONATHAN ADAMS, et al.,**

**Defendants.**

**PRIVACY ACT PROTECTIVE ORDER**

This matter coming before the Court on joint motion by Parties pursuant to section (b)(11) of the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a for an order permitting disclosure of certain records in the possession of the Department of the Army (U.S. Army Cadet Command) for use in private litigation in the above-captioned litigation, and the court being fully informed, it is hereby ORDERED that records in the possession of the Department of the Army (U.S. Army Cadet Command) relating to this litigation may be disclosed to all parties to be used solely for the purposes of this case and not otherwise.

WHEREAS, in this action, Plaintiff Gregory Painter (“Plaintiff”), a former student of the University of North Carolina at Charlotte (“the University”), alleged that he was denied his procedural due process rights under the Fourteenth Amendment by Defendants the University, The University of North Carolina, The Board of Governors of the University of North Carolina, and Jonathan Adams, Christine Reed Davis, Arthur R. Jackson, David E. Broome, Andrew Dies, Mark Lariviere, and Katherine Hall-Hertel, in their official capacities;

WHEREAS, discovery sought in this action may require the production of certain confidential information, such as State employee personnel files protected pursuant to N.C. Gen.

Stat. § 126-22 et seq. and information protected by the Family Education Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g, as well as information released pursuant to the Privacy Act, 5 USC 552a(b)(11);

WHEREAS, this Court entered a consent protective order for this case on October 28, 2016 (DE #81), this consent protective order incorporates the previously entered consent protective order, and also includes and applies to any documents obtained from Defendants' *Touhy* request from the Army; and

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and the University have agreed to the entry of this Order protecting the confidentiality of such information, and there is good cause for the issuance of this Order pursuant to Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. In accordance with the provisions set forth below, the Court intends that confidential information and documents produced, obtained or exchanged in the course of this action shall be used by the party to whom such documents are produced, obtained or exchanged solely for the purpose of this lawsuit and for no other purpose.

2. Any documents produced or exchanged between The Army, Plaintiff and the University, and any information contained in discovery, including but not limited to deposition testimony and deposition exhibits, which a party believes is protected from disclosure under governing law, may be designated as "Confidential." All documents and information so designated and all copies thereof (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Confidential Information"), shall be treated as confidential and shall not be disclosed except as provided in this Order; provided, however, that counsel for the party designating information as Confidential may, in writing and without Court approval, agree to release any of the Confidential Information from the requirements of this Order; and provided further that nothing in this Order shall prevent any

party from challenging the designation of any document as Confidential.

3. Any party may designate a document as Confidential pursuant to the terms of this Order by affixing to the first page thereof a stamp with the legend “CONFIDENTIAL” or may, in the alternative, by written notice inform opposing counsel that the document is to be treated as Confidential Information. It is agreed by the parties that if a party wishes to maintain the confidentiality of the document in a brief or other court filed document, then the party must follow the procedures set forth in paragraphs 9 and 10 of this Consent Protective Order. Further, initially designating a document as Confidential does not obligate either party to later file it under seal unless so required by this Court.

4. Confidential Information shall be produced only to counsel of record in this action, each of whom is bound by the terms of this Order.

5. The following documents are to be designated as “CONFIDENTIAL” and released by the Army pursuant to subpoena, as well as , the Privacy Act, 5 USC 552a(b)(11);

All documentation concerning the disenrollment process of Gregory Todd Painter that culminated in the appeal from the July 8, 2015, decision of Colonel Lawrence A. Anyanwu, Commander, Fourth Brigade, U.S. Army Command to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Cadet Command, Fort Knox, Kentucky, including documents concerning the disenrollment board’s meetings on September 13, 2013 and January 14, 2015. In addition, all documentation concerning the November 25, 2013 and July 8, 2015 decisions on disenrollment, and Gregory Todd Painter’s appeal to the Commanding General, U.S. Army Cadet Command, Fort Knox, Kentucky.

6. Neither the Confidential Information nor its contents shall be disclosed to any other person without the agreement of the party designating information as Confidential, except that counsel may, without further agreement, disclose the Confidential Information or its contents to

the following persons for use solely in connection with this action under the following conditions:

- a. The parties and their attorneys, legal assistants, and other employees of the parties who have a need to handle the Confidential Information under normal office procedure;
- b. Experts or consultants retained by the parties with respect to this action;
- c. Any person from whom testimony has been taken or is reasonably expected to be taken in this action (whether by deposition or at trial);
- d. This Court and its staff; and
- e. Any court reporters present in their official capacity at any hearing, deposition or other proceeding in this action.

7. Any party may also designate portions of a deposition as Confidential Information by notifying the other party in writing within twenty (20) days of receipt of the transcript of the portions that are designated Confidential. All depositions shall be treated as confidential during this twenty (20) day period. Confidential Information within the deposition transcript may be designated by underlining the portions of the pages that are confidential and marking such pages with the following: "CONFIDENTIAL." Any party wishing to use Confidential Information within a deposition transcript shall follow the procedures set forth in paragraph 9 and 10 in this Consent Protective Order.

8. Confidential Information shall be used solely for the purpose of prosecution or defense of this action, and such documents may be used, consistent with the terms of this Order, in pretrial discovery and at the trial or preparation for trial and any appeals of this action.

9. Producing or receiving materials or otherwise complying with the terms of this Order shall not:

- a. operate as an admission by any party that any particular discovery material contains

or reflects any Confidential Information; or

- b. prejudice in any way the rights of any party to object to the production of documents it considers not subject to discovery or otherwise protected from or limited in discovery on the basis of privilege or otherwise; or
- c. prejudice in any way the rights of a party to seek a court determination whether particular discovery materials should be produced or considered confidential; or
- d. prejudice in any way the rights of a party to apply to the Court for any additional protection with respect to the confidentiality of documents or information as that party may consider appropriate.

10. Each time a party seeks to file under seal confidential documents, things, and/or information, said party shall accompany the request with a motion to seal and a supporting memorandum of law specifying (a) the exact documents, things, and/or information, or portions thereof, for which filing under seal is requested; (b) where it is necessary for the court to determine the source of the public's right to access before a request to seal may be evaluated, whether any such request to seal seeks to overcome the common law or the First Amendment presumption to access; (c) the specific qualities of the material at issue which justify sealing such material, taking into account the balance of competing interests in access; (d) the reasons why alternatives to sealing are inadequate; and, (e) whether there is consent to the motion. Finally, in addition to the motion and supporting memorandum, said party must set out findings in a proposed order to seal for the court.

11. When a party seeks to file confidential documents, things, and/or information, including confidential portions of any transcript, a party shall submit such materials to the court in a sealed envelope or other appropriately sealed container, which covering shall be endorsed with the title of this action and a statement substantially in the following form: "Filed Under Seal

Pursuant to Protective Order.”

12. The Confidentiality provisions of this Order shall survive any settlement, judgment or other disposition or conclusion of this action, and all appeals therefrom, and this Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction in order to enforce the terms of this Order. At the conclusion of this litigation, including any appeals which may be filed, each party will return to the other all Confidential Information, including copies, received from the other during the course of this litigation, or shall destroy all Confidential Information and certify in writing to counsel that the Confidential Information has been destroyed.

13. Any party may at any time and for any reason seek modification of this Protective Order. This Protective Order can be modified only by written agreement of the parties or by Order of this Court. Each party reserves the right to object to any party’s motion or request to modify this Protective Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: January 31, 2017

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David C. Keesler  
United States Magistrate Judge



AGREED TO BY:

Counsel for Defendants:

JOSH STEIN  
Attorney General

/s/ Catherine F. Jordan  
Assistant Attorney General  
N.C. Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 629  
Raleigh, NC 27602  
Tel.: 919-716-6920  
Fax: 919-716-6764  
[cjordan@ncdoj.gov](mailto:cjordan@ncdoj.gov)  
N.C. State Bar No. 34030

Counsel for the Plaintiff:

/s/ Laura Cobb  
Arnold & Smith, PLLC  
200 North McDowell Street  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28204  
Telephone: 704.370.2828  
Facsimile: 704.370.2202  
Email: [LauraM.Cobb@arnoldsmithlaw.com](mailto:LauraM.Cobb@arnoldsmithlaw.com)  
N.C. State Bar No. 41166